UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

RUDOLPH BETANCOURT, Plaintiff, vs.

PALLAR, L.C. and EPIC RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. d/b/a OCEANS 234 Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, RUDOLPH BETANCOURT (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by his undersigned, hereby files this Complaint and sues Defendants, PALLAR, L.C. and EPIC RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. d/b/a OCEANS 234 (hereinafter "Defendants"), for injunctive relief pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, (hereinafter the "A.D.A"), the ADA's Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (hereinafter the "ADAAG"), and the Florida Building Code.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C., §§1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff's claims arising under 42 U.S.C. § 12181. *et seq.*, based upon Defendants' violations of Title III of the ADA (see also, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202).
- **2.** The subject property is a restaurant located on or about 234 N. Ocean Blvd., Deerfield Beach, FL 33441 (hereinafter "Subject Premises").
- 3. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the State of Florida. Venue is proper in this Court as the premises are located in the Southern District.

PARTIES

- **4.** Plaintiff, RUDOLPH BETANCOURT, is currently a resident of Fenwick, Michigan in the County of Montcalm, is *sui juris*, and is a qualified individual under the ADA and the FACBC.
- 5. Plaintiff is a double leg amputee who uses prosthetic devices or a wheelchair to ambulate, a Marine Corps veteran with several friends, family, and colleagues in South Florida including a son who is a minor league baseball athlete in South Florida who he frequently visits. Additionally, Plaintiff enjoys the South Florida weather and the multiple sporting events, festivals, and events that occur throughout South Florida.
- **6.** Despite the physical limitations to which he is subjected as a result of his disability, Plaintiff continues to lead a full life, frequently travels, dines out, and is also an actively social and independent individual.
- 7. Defendant, PALLAR, L.C., is a Florida Limited Liability Company which is authorized to and does transact business in the State of Florida and within this judicial district.
- **8.** Pursuant to the Broward County Property Appraiser's Office, Defendant, PALLAR, L.C., is the owner and/or operator/manager of the real property located on or 234 N. Ocean Blvd., Deerfield Beach, FL 33441 (hereinafter the "Subject Premises"). This is the building where the Subject Premises is located.
- **9.** Defendant, EPIC RESTAURANT GROUP, INC., is a Florida Profit Corporation which is authorized to and does transact business in the State of Florida and within this judicial district.
- **10.** According to the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation, the Defendant, EPIC RESTAURANT GROUP, INC., was licensed on January 15, 2013 to provide

Permanent Food Service and Seating and occupies 234 N. Ocean Blvd., Deerfield Beach, FL 33441 as a restaurant known as "OCEANS 234".

11. The Subject Premises is owned and/or operated by the Defendants and is a public accommodation required by law to comply with the ADA and ADAAG.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CLAIM

- 12. Plaintiff most recently visited the Subject Premises on or about July 24, 2022 to eat dinner with friends and accessed to the extent possible, or attempted to access the Subject Premises and specific areas of the Subject Premises as described herein.
- 13. While visiting the Subject Premises, Plaintiff personally encountered or observed several barriers to access in violation of the ADA and ADAAG as detailed further herein at Paragraph 17. As a result, Plaintiff has been denied access to the Subject Premises and full and equal enjoyment of the goods and services offered therein because of his disability and will continue to be denied such access as a result of those barriers.
- 14. Said barriers to access at the Subject Premises endanger the safety of Plaintiff and all other individuals with disabilities, deny Plaintiff and others with disabilities equal access to the Subject Premises as to that of able-bodied persons, and causes social embarrassment due to the difficulties encountering such barriers to access—a social embarrassment that would not occur if the Subject Premises was in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 15. In encountering the barriers to access at the Subject Premises, and suffering the resulting discrimination, endangerment, and embarrassment—the Plaintiff sustained a lawful injury-in-fact pursuant to the ADA.
- **16.** Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. §12134(a), on September 15, 2010, the Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, published revised regulations for Title III

of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 in the Federal Register to implement the requirements of the ADA. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations on or before March 15, 2012.¹

17. A specific, although not exclusive, list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which preclude and/or limit Plaintiff's ability (because of his disability) to access the Facility and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility include:

Symbols of Accessibility

a) The main lobby entrance does not provide informational or directional signage with the International Symbol of Accessibility (ISA) making it difficult for the plaintiff to identify the accessible entrance, in violation of sections 216.4.3, 216.6, and 703.7.2.1 in the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.

Dining Surfaces and Work Surfaces

b) The tops of dining surfaces and work surfaces shall be 28 inches minimum and 34 inches maximum above the finish floor making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of Section 902.3 of the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.

Men's Public Restroom

- c) The flush control is not located on the open side of the toilet making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of section 604.6 of the 2010 ADA Standards, whose remediation is readily achievable.
- **d**) The plaintiff had to use caution when using the lavatory due to the drainpipe being fully exposed and not insulated as required making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of section 606.5 in the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable
- **e)** The lavatory does not provide knee clearance as required making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of sections 306.3 of the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.

¹ "Safe Harbor. Elements that have not been altered in existing facilities on or after March 15, 2012, and that comply with the corresponding technical and scoping specification for those elements in the 1991 Standards are not required to be modified in order to comply with the requirements set forth in the 2010 Standards" 28 CFR §36.304(d)(2)(i), however, the violations described herein violate both the 1991 Standards as well as the 2010 Standards.

- f) The mirror exceeds the maximum height requirement of 40 inches above the finish floor making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of section 603.3 of the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.
- g) The toilet compartment stall door does not provide a u-shaped loop handle on the inside of the door as required making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize, in violation of section 604.8.1.2 in the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.
- **h)** The baby changing table operating mechanism to open is mounted above the maximum height of 48 inches. Making it difficult for the plaintiff to utilize. In violation of section 902.3 in the 2010 ADA Standards, whose resolution is readily achievable.
- 18. The above listing is not to be considered all-inclusive of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility. Plaintiff requires an inspection of the Facility in order to photograph, measure and determine all of the discriminatory acts violating the ADA.
- 19. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations set forth herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without much difficulty or expense pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); and 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.
- 20. The ADA defines "readily achievable as "easily accomplishable and without much difficult or expenses."42 U.S.C. §12181(9) Congress included in the ADA factors to be considered in evaluating whether removal of a barrier is "readily achievable." These factors are (1) nature and cost of the action; (2) overall financial resources of the facility or facilities involved; (3) number of persons employed at such facility; (4) effect on expenses and resources; (5) impact of such action upon the operation of the facility; (6) overall financial resources of the covered entity; (7) overall size of the business of a covered entity; (8) the number, type, and location of its facilities; (9) type of operation or operations of the covered entity, including

composition, structure, and functions of the workforce of such entity, and (10)geographic separateness, administrative or fiscal relationship of the facility or facilities in question to the covered entity. Garthright-Dietrich v. Atlanta Landmarks, Inc., 452 F. 3d 1269, 1272-73 (11th Cir. 2006). The obligation to engage in readily achievable barrier removal is a continuing one. Over time, barrier removal that initially was not readily achievable may later be required because of changed circumstances. DOJ ADA Title III Technical Assistance Manual, Section III-4.4400 Continuing obligation.

- 21. Because, *inter alia*, this facility was designed, constructed, and received its State Licensures on January 15, 2013, Plaintiff asserts that said ADA violations are intentional in nature and will not be corrected absent Court intervention, thus exacerbating the intentional legal harm and injury to which Plaintiff has been and will continue to be subjected in the future.
- 22. Plaintiff will undoubtedly return to the Subject Premises once the barriers to access have been remediated—not only to avail himself of the goods and services available at the Subject Premises, but to confirm and assure himself that the Subject Premises has been brought into compliance with the ADA and *maintained* in compliance with the ADA so that Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities will have equal access to the Subject Premises without fear of discrimination, endangerment of their safety, or social and public embarrassment.
- 23. Independent of his personal desire to access this place of public accommodation as required by law, Plaintiff is an advocate of the rights of similarly situated persons with disabilities and an advocate for asserting his own civil rights. However, Plaintiff is deterred from returning to the Subject Premises as long as the Defendants continue to operate the Subject Premises in violation of the ADA and ADAAG.

- **24.** Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, and continuing threat of discrimination by the Defendants as long as the Subject Premises remains in non-compliance with the ADA.
- 25. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff and others with disabilities by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility, as prohibited by 42 U.S.C., § 12182, et. seq., and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by 42 U.S.C., § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Facility, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities, including Plaintiff.
- **26.** Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer direct and indirect injury as a result of the ADA violations that exist at the Subject Premises and the actions or inactions described herein.
- 27. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility, including those set forth herein.
- **28.** Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. The Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorney's fees, costs and expenses paid by the Defendants, pursuant to 42 U.S.C., §§ 12205 and 12217.
- 29. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188(a), this Court is provided with authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including an order to alter the subject Facility to make it readily

accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, and closing the subject Facility until the requisite modifications are completed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing is discriminatory practices, ordering Defendants to remove the physical barriers to access and alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA, closing the subject Facility until the barriers are removed and requisite alterations are completed, and awarding Plaintiff his reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, costs and litigation expenses incurred in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Glenn R. Goldstein

Glenn R. Goldstein, Esq. (FBN: 55873)

Attorney for Plaintiff
Glenn R. Goldstein & Associates, PLLC
8101 Biscayne Blvd., Ste. 504
Miami, Florida 33138
(305) 900-2373
GGoldstein@G2Legal.net

s/ Lauren N. Wassenberg

Lauren N. Wassenberg, Esq. (FBN: 34083)

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lauren N. Wassenberg & Associates, P.A.
1825 NW Corporate Blvd., Ste. 110

Boca Raton, FL 33431
(561) 571-0646

WassenbergL@gmail.com